

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

10758.948	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP	10/558,948	11/30/2005	Roberto Golzi	EURA-028/00US	6650
ATTN: Patent Group Suite 1100 777 - 6th Street, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001 WELTER, RACHAEL E ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER 1611			EXAMINER		
777 - 6th Street, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1611	ATTN: Patent Group			WELTER, RACHAEL E	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001 1611				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MAIL DATE DELIVERY MOE	WASHINGTON, DC 20001			1611	
MAIL DATE DELIVERY MOD					
01/07/2000 PAPER				MAIL DATE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/558.948 GOLZI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit RACHAEL E. WELTER 1611 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 October 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-38 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/0E)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ________

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Status: Claims 1-38 are pending.

Response To Applicant's Restriction

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II (claims 15-38) in the reply filed 10/6/08 is acknowledged. However, upon careful reconsideration, the restriction requirement mailed 7/28/08 is withdrawn. In addition, the interview summary clarifying the previous restriction requirement mailed 10/7/08 is withdrawn. The following restriction will be required instead and will replace the previous two communications.

Restriction

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I: Claims 1-14 are drawn to a method of preparing microcapsules.

Group II: Claims 15-38 are drawn to microcapsules.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

An international application should relate to only one invention or, if there is more than one invention, the inclusion of those inventions in one international application is

Art Unit: 1611

only permitted if all inventions are so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1). With respect to a group of inventions claimed in an international application, unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT rule 13.1 and 13.2 since, under 37 CFR 1.475(a).

Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The special technical feature in **Group I** is the method of preparing microcapsules having a core with dimensions ranging from 50 to 1200 um and a polymeric membrane containing at least one active ingredient and optionally at least one membrane additive in that the application of said polymeric membrane to said core is carried out by a process of coacervation.

The special technical feature in **Group II** is drawn to microcapsules comprising a core with dimensions ranging from 50 to 1200 um and a polymeric membrane coating wherein said core is based on water-soluble coating/water-insoluble coating and contains at least one water-insoluble active ingredient/water-soluble active ingredient dispersed therein in the form of solid particles.

The common technical feature in Groups I-II is a microcapsule having a core with dimension ranging from 50 to 1200 um and a polymeric membrane containing at least one active ingredient.

This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the element is shown in the prior art.

Thakur et al (US Publication No. 2002/0064563) teach sugar beads preferably in the range of 0.710 mm-1.18 mm coated with a solution comprising topiramate and povidone (paragraph 25; paragraph 37; example 1). Topiramate is an anticonvulsant useful for treating epilepsy (abstract).

The claims, therefore, lack unity of invention.

Election of Species

Furthermore, this application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

If applicant elects Group I, applicant is required to elect from the following species:

- a.) Water-insoluble membrane polymer and a water-soluble active ingredient, as disclosed in claims 4-8.
- b.) Water-soluble membrane polymer and a water-insoluble active ingredient, as disclosed in claims 9-14.

Art Unit: 1611

In addition, applicant is required to elect the presence or absence of a membrane additive

If applicant elects Group II, applicant is required to elect from the following species:

- a.) Water-soluble coating and water-insoluble active ingredient, as disclosed in claims 15-21 and 27-32.
- b.) Water-insoluble coating and water-soluble active ingredient, as disclosed in claims 22-26 and 33-38.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following species are generic because they are not unified by the same technical feature. Claims 9-14, 15-21 and 27-32 are drawn to a water-soluble coating and water-insoluble active ingredient, whereas claims 4-8, 22-26, and 33-38 are drawn to a water-insoluble coating and water-soluble active ingredient.

Art Unit: 1611

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RACHAEL E. WELTER whose telephone number is (571) 270-5237. The examiner can normally be reached 7:30-5:00 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sharmila Landau can be reached at 571-272-0614. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

REW

/Lakshmi S Channavajjala/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1611 January 4, 2009